



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,297	04/11/2006	Bernd Schessl	2003P01288WOUS	3142

46726 7590 05/25/2010  
BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
100 BOSCH BOULEVARD  
NEW BERN, NC 28562

EXAMINER

CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3781

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/25/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b> 10/575,297</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHESSL ET AL.</p>	
	<p><b>Examiner</b> /Stephen J. Castellano/</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b> 3781</p>	

**All Participants:**

(1) /Stephen J. Castellano/

(2) Mr. Paul Bowen (appl. rep.)

**Date of Interview:** 19 May 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Proposed 103 rejection of Smith ('325) in view of Remmers (4735325)*

Claims discussed:

*Of record*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Art of record and as stated in rejections above*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Stephen J. Castellano/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to propose an examiner's amendment. Claims 25-30 are currently in allowable condition. Examiner suggested claim 23 or 24 be put into independent form and claim 32 be put into independent form to allow as well. After discussion with client, applicant opted for written action..